

#### NEAR INFRARED FLUORESCENCE MOLECULAR IMAGING FOR CANCER DIAGNOSTICS

Dimitrios Gorpas Electrical and Computer Engineer, PhD

Information Technologies Institute, CERTH 2012

### INTRODUCTION

Among the most important problems in medical imaging is the threedimensional reconstruction of biostructures embedded into tissues.

- Need for non-invasive and safe for the patients techniques,
- Need for reconstruction accuracy and time efficacy.

Fluorescence imaging is advancing to a very essential tool for medical imaging and diagnosis, mainly for cancer diagnosis.

- Progress in fluorescent probes technology and optical imaging modalities.
- Data quantification and processing is now more realistic and possible.

### OUTLINE

The fluorescence phenomenon.

Fluorescence molecular imaging - the problems.

Image acquisition systems.

Light propagation models.

Conclusion.

## **LUMINESCENCE**

Light emission from a medium, which is not due to high temperature, is called luminescence.

Since luminescence is actually energy leaving the medium, some kind of energy absorption should precede light emission...

...and thus we have:

- Electroluminescence (LEDs), Substance excitation due to electric current.
- Radioluminescence (emergency exit signs), Substance excitation due to ionizing radiation.
- Chemiluminescence (glow sticks) and Substance excitation due to chemical reaction.
- Photoluminescence (safety signs). Substance excitation due to photon absorption.









## LUMINESCENCE

Light emission from a medium, which is not due to high temperature, is called luminescence.

Since luminescence is actually energy leaving the medium, some kind of energy absorption should precede light emission...

...and thus we have:

- Electroluminescence (LEDs), Substance excitation due to electric current.
- Radioluminescence (emergency exit signs), Substance excitation due to ionizing radiation.
- Chemiluminescence (glow sticks) and Substance excitation due to chemical reaction.

Photoluminescence (safety signs). Substance excitation due to photon absorption









### LIGHT PROPAGATION IN RANDOM MEDIA



### LIGHT PROPAGATION IN RANDOM MEDIA (CONT.)

Absorption coefficient: The inverse quantity corresponds to the mean depth a photon travels inside the medium before it is absorbed.

Beer's Law: 
$$I(x) = I_0 \cdot e^{-\mu_a \cdot x}$$

Scattering coefficient: The inverse quantity corresponds to the mean depth a photon travels inside the medium before it is scattered.

Equivalent to Beer's Law:  $I(x) = I_0 \cdot e^{-\mu_s \cdot x}$ 

• **Penetration depth:** The depth of the medium where light intensity equals to 37% (1/e) of the incident light intensity. This quantity equals to the inverse of the attenuation coefficient  $\mu_t = \mu_a + \mu_s$ .

Lambert Law:

$$I(x) = I_0 \cdot e^{-\mu_t \cdot x}$$

## JABLONSKI DIAGRAM



### STOKES SHIFT











## <u>Autofluorescence of Our Body</u>

Autofluorescence: Emission of fluorescence without the need for exogenous fluorophores.

Chromophore	ก <sub>ีexc</sub> (nm)	ู่ก <sub>ียm</sub> (nm)
Tryptophan	275	350
Collagen	340 270 285	395 395 310
Elastin	460 360 425 260	520 410 490 410
NADH	350	460
Endogenous Porphyrins	400	610, 675

## Absorption of Our Body



- Optical window.
- Somparable scattering and absorption: all the other wavelengths.

# So...why Near IR Wavelengths?

- Most of the tissue endogenous fluorophores absorb at the region
   A<600 nm.
   </p>
- ♀ The same as blood and most of all other tissue molecules.

# For wavelengths below 600 nm the light presents very small penetration depth.

(Very useful in multi-view machine vision systems with structured light projection)

- Within the optical window, tissue is a scattering dominated medium and thus light can propagate a few centimeters before it is absorbed.
  - Autofluorescence does not exist in the optical window and thus the acquired fluorescence is the signal of interest.

### **FLUOROPHORES TECHNOLOGY**







# Fluorescence Molecular Imaging

The solution of the reconstruction problem, in the context of fluorescence molecular imaging, corresponds to the estimation of the fluorophores distribution within the investigated medium, when:

- the amount of guided light and
- **the measured data on the boundary of the object are given.**

The fluorescence molecular imaging investigated characteristics are:

\* the absorption coefficient values of the fluorophores,
\* their three-dimensional distributions and
\* their fluorescence lifetime.

# THE FORWARD PROBLEM IN FLUORESCENCE MOLECULAR IMAGING

The **forward problem** in fluorescence imaging is to solve the measurable data, which are the intensity values recorded by the detector on the surface of the inspected region, when the fluorescence distribution and the input light sources are given.



#### 🖗 Forward Solver

- Monte Carlo (MC) is the gold standard,
- Diffusion Approximation (DA),
- Radiative Transfer Equation (RTE) is still under investigation.

# <u>The Inverse Problem in</u> <u>Fluorescence Molecular Imaging</u>

The **inverse problem** in fluorescence imaging is defined as the 3D reconstruction of a fluorophores distribution embedded into a turbid medium, like tissues, by utilizing the acquired fluorescence signal and the outcomes of the forward problem solution.



🎽 Inverse Problem

- Optimization problem is formed,
- The fluorophores distribution is updated after each iteration,
- Application of the forward solver to the new distribution,
- Iterations continue until convergence occurs.

## Applications of the Fluorescence Molecular Imaging

Example of current clinical application of the fluorescence molecular

#### <u>imaging</u>



da Vinci PARTIAL NEPHRECTOMY

da Vinci® Partial Nephrectomy

Near-infrared Fluorescence-assisted Selective Clamping

Michael Stifelman, M.D. Chief of Urology Service, NYU Langone Medical Center Director of Robotic Surgery, NYU Langone Medical Center

# **Applications of the Fluorescence Molecular Imaging**

Example of fluorescence molecular imaging application in the pharmaceutical field.



Kovar J et al., "A systematic approach to the development of fluorescent contrast agents for optical imaging of mouse cancer models", Cancer Res. 69(13):5592-600 (2009).

# Applications of the Fluorescence Molecular Imaging

Possible prospects for clinical application of the fluorescence molecular imaging/tomography

Breast cancer diagnosis.

Tumor diagnosis/quantification.

- Photodynamic therapy evaluation.
- 🖗 Lung inflammation.
- 🖗 Immunology.
- 🖗 Cardiology.

### OUTLINE



### IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES



## **IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES**



## IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES







- The Radiative Transfer Equation:
  - is accurate in predicting light propagation,
  - requires huge computing resources and
  - is time consuming.
- The DiffusionApproximation:
  - is accurate in predicting light propagation when the application is scattered dominated,
     i · ω c
  - provides inaccurate
     predictions close to the light
     source and
  - provides inaccurate predictions close to the region boundaries.

$$\frac{\mathbf{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}}{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) + \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{I}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) + \left[ \mu_{\alpha, x/m} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) + \mu_{s, x/m} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) \right] \cdot \mathbf{I}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) \\ - \mu_{s, x/m} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) \cdot \int_{4\pi} p_{x/m} \left( \hat{\mathbf{s}}, \hat{\mathbf{s}'} \right) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}'} \right) \cdot d\hat{\mathbf{s}'} = \Lambda_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) \\ \mathbf{r}_{eV_{R'}} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) = \Lambda_{eV_{R'}} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) + \left[ \mu_{eV_{R'}} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) + \left[ \mu_{eV_{R'}}$$

$$I_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{RTE,out} \setminus dS_{src}, \ \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} < 0 \\ I_{src}(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) & \mathbf{r} \in dS_{src}, \ \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{1}{c} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla \left[ \mathbf{D}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \right] + \mu_{\alpha,x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{U}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) = \Lambda_{0,x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \Big|_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{V}_{D}}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) = -2 \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{n}}} \mathbf{U}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{S}_{DA,out}, \ \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} < 0$$

#### Dual Coupled RTE-DA model

RTE

$$\frac{\mathbf{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}}{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) + \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{I}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) + \left[ \mu_{\alpha, x/m} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) + \mu_{s, x/m} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) \right] \cdot \mathbf{I}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) \\ - \mu_{s, x/m} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) \cdot \int_{4\pi} p_{x/m} \left( \hat{\mathbf{s}}, \hat{\mathbf{s}'} \right) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}'} \right) \cdot d\hat{\mathbf{s}'} = \Lambda_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \right) \\ |_{\mathbf{r} \in V_{RT}}$$

Vacuum boundary condition

Interface boundary condition

 $\mathbf{I}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) = \mathbf{U}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{3}{4 \cdot \pi} \cdot \left[ \mathbf{D}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}} \Big|_{\mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{interface}}}$ 

 $\mathbf{I}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{S}_{\text{RTE,out}} \setminus d\mathbf{S}_{\text{src}}, \ \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} < 0 \\ \mathbf{I}_{\text{src}}(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) & \mathbf{r} \in d\mathbf{S}_{\text{src}}, \ \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} < 0 \end{cases}$ 

DA

Robin type boundary condition

Interface boundary condition

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) - \nabla \left[ \mathbf{D}_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U}_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \right] + \mu_{\alpha,x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \cdot \mathbf{U}_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \Lambda_{0,x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \Big|_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{DA}}}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) = -2 \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{n}}} \mathbf{U}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{S}_{DA,out}, \ \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} < \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{x}/\mathbf{m}}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \frac{1}{4 \cdot \pi} \cdot \int_{4\pi} \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{x}/\mathbf{m}}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}\right) \cdot d\hat{\mathbf{s}} \qquad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{S}_{\text{interface}}$$

#### **Dual Coupled RTE-DA model**

RTE

Vacuum boundary condition

Interface boundary condition

DA

Robin type boundary condition

Interface boundary condition

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \overbrace{i \cdot \Theta}{c} \cdot I_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) + \hat{s} \cdot \nabla I_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) + \left[ \mu_{\alpha, x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) + \mu_{s, x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \right] \cdot I_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) \\ -\mu_{s, x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \cdot \int_{a_{x}} p_{x/m}\left(\hat{s}, \hat{s}'\right) \cdot I_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}'\right) \cdot d\hat{s}' \in \Lambda_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) \\ -\mu_{s, x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{RTE,out}} \setminus dS_{\text{sec}}, \quad \hat{s} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} < 0 \\ I_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{RTE,out}} \setminus dS_{\text{sec}}, \quad \hat{s} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} < 0 \\ I_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{RTE,out}} \setminus dS_{\text{sec}}, \quad \hat{s} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} < 0 \\ I_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,x}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = 0 \\ \Lambda_{x}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{RTE,out}} \\ \Lambda_{x}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = 0 \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = 0 \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{x}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = 0 \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{0,m}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) = \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda_{m}\left(\mathbf{r}, \hat{s}\right) - \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0 & \mathbf{r} \in S_{\text{rev}} \\ \Lambda$$



# THE DUAL COUPLED RTE-DA MODEL

Numerical solution of the forward problem

The finite elements method is the one adopted as the numerical solution approximation of the forward problem in fluorescence molecular imaging.

# THE DUAL COUPLED RTE-DA MODEL

#### Numerical solution of the forward problem

- Variational formulation of the dual coupled RTE-DA model.
  - RTE is multiplied with the test function \u03c8(r, \u03c8) and integrated over the domain V<sub>RTE</sub> and for all the angular directions.
  - ▶ DA is multiplied with the test function y(r) and integrated over the domain  $V_{DA}$ .

#### Finite elements approximation of the dual coupled RTE-DA model.

The solutions of the variational formulation are approximated in piece-wise linear functions per element (standard Galerkin technique).

$$_{x/m}\left(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{s}}\right) \approx \mathbf{I}_{x/m}^{h}\left(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{s}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{n}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{a}} \alpha_{il,x/m} \cdot \psi_{i}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) \cdot \psi_{l}\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}\right)$$

$$U_{x/m}(\mathbf{r}) \approx U_{x/m}^{h}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k,x/m} \cdot y_{k}(\mathbf{r})$$

# THE DUAL COUPLED RTE-DA MODEL

#### Numerical solution of the forward problem

Application of the streamline diffusion modification, sdm, for minimization of the "photon rays".

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) := \psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) + \delta(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}})$$

Transformation of the resulted linear algebraic system into its matrix formalism.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\text{RTE},x/m} & \mathbf{B}_{\text{RTE},x/m} \\ \mathbf{B}_{\text{DA},x/m} & \mathbf{A}_{\text{DA},x/m} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{x/m} \\ \mathbf{a}_{x/m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\text{RTE},x/m} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\text{DA},x/m} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{A}_{\text{RTE},x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) = \mathbf{A}_{0}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) + \mathbf{A}_{1}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) + \mathbf{A}_{2}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) + \mathbf{A}_{3}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) + \mathbf{A}_{4}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right)$ 

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{DA},\mathrm{x/m}}\left(\mathrm{p},\mathrm{k}\right) = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{x/m}}\left(\mathrm{p},\mathrm{k}\right) + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{x/m}}\left(\mathrm{p},\mathrm{k}\right) + \mathbf{P}\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{x/m}}\left(\mathrm{p},\mathrm{k}\right)$$
# THE DUAL COUPLED RTE-DA MODEL

### Numerical solution of the forward problem

Application of the streamline diffusion modification, sdm, for minimization of the "photon rays".

Trans  
matrix 
$$\mathbf{A}_{0}^{x/m}(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}) = \frac{\mathbf{i}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\mathbf{c}}\cdot\int_{V_{RTE}}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i}(\mathbf{r})\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j}(\mathbf{r})\cdot\mathbf{dr}\cdot\int_{4\pi}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}(\hat{\mathbf{s}})\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{q}(\hat{\mathbf{s}})\cdot\mathbf{d\hat{\mathbf{s}}}$$
$$+\frac{\mathbf{i}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\mathbf{c}}\cdot\int_{V_{RTE}}\boldsymbol{\delta}_{x/m}(\mathbf{r})\cdot\hat{\mathbf{s}}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j}(\mathbf{r})\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{q}(\hat{\mathbf{s}})\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}(\hat{\mathbf{s}})\cdot\mathbf{d\hat{\mathbf{s}}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i}(\mathbf{r})\cdot\mathbf{dr}$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{DA},\mathrm{x/m}} \quad \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{DA},\mathrm{x/m}} \quad \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{x/m}} \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{DA},\mathrm{x/m}}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{RTE},x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) = \left(\mathbf{A}_{0}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) + \mathbf{A}_{1}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) + \mathbf{A}_{2}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) + \mathbf{A}_{3}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) + \mathbf{A}_{4}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},\mathbf{h}_{2}\right) + \mathbf{A}_{4}^{x/m}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1},$$

 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{DA},\mathrm{x/m}}(\mathrm{p},\mathrm{k}) = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{x/m}}(\mathrm{p},\mathrm{k}) + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{x/m}}(\mathrm{p},\mathrm{k}) + \mathbf{P}\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{x/m}}(\mathrm{p},\mathrm{k})$ 

# THE DUAL COUPLED RTE-DA MODEL

### Numerical solution of the forward problem

Application of the streamline diffusion modification, sdm, for minimization of the "photon rays".

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) := \psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) + \delta(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}})$$

Transformation of the resulted linear algebraic system into its matrix formalism.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\text{RTE},x/m} & \mathbf{B}_{\text{RTE},x/m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{x/m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\text{RTE},x/m} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{e}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} \right) = \frac{\mathbf{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}}{\mathbf{c}} \cdot \int_{V_{DA}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) \cdot \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{p}} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \int_{V_{DA}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\alpha,x/m} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) \cdot \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) \cdot \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{p}} \left( \mathbf{r} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{r} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{RTE},x/m} \left( \mathbf{h}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{2} \right) = \mathbf{A}_{0}^{x/m} \left( \mathbf{h}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{2} \right) + \mathbf{A}_{1}^{x/m} \left( \mathbf{h}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{2} \right) + \mathbf{A}_{2}^{x/m} \left( \mathbf{h}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{2} \right) + \mathbf{A}_{3}^{x/m} \left( \mathbf{h}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{2} \right) + \mathbf{A}_{4}^{x/m} \left( \mathbf{h}_{1}, \mathbf{h}_{2} \right)$$
$$\mathbf{A}_{DA,x/m} \left( \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} \right) = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{e}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} \right) + \mathbf{M} \mathbf{e}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} \right) + \mathbf{P} \mathbf{e}_{x/m} \left( \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} \right)$$

# THE DUAL COUPLED RTE-DA MODEL

### Numerical solution of the forward problem

- Excitation solution and application of the outcomes for the solution of the emission.
  - The linear systems can be solved through application of the BiCGStab method.
- Spatial and angular integrals were confronted separately.
  - Assembly of the finite elements matrices with application of the Kronecker product.

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{11} \cdot \mathbf{B} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{n1} \cdot \mathbf{B} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_{1m} \cdot \mathbf{B} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{nm} \cdot \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$$

Gorpas D., Yova D., Politopoulos K., "A Three-dimensional Finite Elements Approach for the Coupled Radiative Transfer Equation and Diffusion Approximation Modeling in Fluorescence Imaging", J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 111(4): 569–584 (2010).

# **REGION DISCRETIZATION**

### Discretization of the geometrical model

Spatial discretization
with application of the
Delaunay triangulation
method.



 Angular discretization with application of the Azimuthal technique.



## SUPER-ELLIPSOIDAL MODELS

### **Optical properties distribution**

The volume optical properties distribution was implemented through application of the super-ellipsoidal models.

$$f(x, y, z) = \left[ \left(\frac{x}{a_1}\right)^{2/\epsilon_2} + \left(\frac{y}{a_2}\right)^{2/\epsilon_2} \right]^{2/\epsilon_2} + \left(\frac{z}{a_3}\right)^{2/\epsilon_1}$$

- Description of the position of a three-dimensional point, related to the super-ellipsoid surface.
  - f(x,y,z)=1 when the point is located on the super-ellipsoid surface,
  - f(x,y,z)<1 when the point is located inside the super-ellipsoid model and</li>
  - f(x,y,z)>1 when the point is located outside the super-ellipsoid model.
- Spatial distribution of the absorption coefficient.

$$J_{\alpha,x/m}(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_{\alpha,x/m}^{\text{tis}} + q(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mu_{\alpha,x/m}^{\text{fluo}} \qquad q(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} 1, f(x, y, z) \le 1\\ 0, f(x, y, z) > 1 \end{cases}$$

### SUPER-ELLIPSOIDAL MODELS





### **Results in the Frequency domain**

Spatial discretization:  $\ell = 0.1 \text{ cm}$ Angular discretization:  $N_{\theta} \times N_{\phi} = 4 \times 4$ Interface location: z=0.7 cm

Super-ellipsoidal model with radius r=0.25 cm, located at the centre of a cubic region with dimensions 2×2×2 cm<sup>3</sup>.

-0.5	-0.5		
	DA	RTE	RTE-DA
Tetrahedral Elements	48000	48000	7200-RTE 40800-DA
Nodal Points	9261	9261	1764-RTE 7938-DA
Angular Elements	-	16	16-RTE
Angular Nodes	-	20	20-RTE
Assembly Matrices Dimensions	[9261×9261]	[185220×185220]	[43218×43218]
Required Times (sec)	7.69	7.95	8.22

### **Results in the Frequency domain**

Logarithm of the photon density modulation amplitude.





### **Results in the Frequency domain**

Logarithm of the photon density modulation amplitude.





The optical properties of the labeled synthetic tumor were matching the Methylene Blue (MB) fluorophore, while the background was corresponding to a solution of 1% v/v Intralipid and 1% w/v Agarose.

Excitation wavelength: ₁<sub>x</sub>=660 nm Emission wavelength: ₁<sub>m</sub>=700 nm

-4.5

### **Results in the Frequency domain**

Logarithm of the photon density modulation amplitude.









**Evaluation of the results in the frequency domain** 

Accuracy of the method.

- Accuracy of the method.
  - Estimated in regards to the RTE.

- Accuracy of the method.
  - Estimated in regards to the RTE.
  - Estimated through the absolute value of the relative error between the results of the dual coupled RTE-DA model and the RTE based model.

$$ARE_{A/\theta,DA/RTE-DA} = \left| \frac{M_{A/\theta,RTE} - M_{A/\theta,DA/RTE-DA}}{M_{A/\theta,RTE}} \right| \times 100\%$$

- Accuracy of the method.
  - Estimated in regards to the RTE.
  - Estimated through the absolute value of the relative error between the results of the dual coupled RTE-DA model and the RTE based model.
  - Compared with the corresponding accuracy of the DA based model.







### Evaluation of the results in the frequency domain

 The computational time, the number of iterations and the size of the formulated matrices.

		DA	RTE	RTE-DA	
	t <sub>total</sub> (sec)	38.15	1060.19	480.74	
	n <sub>x</sub>	73	101	127	
	n <sub>m</sub>	76	165	197	
	N <sub>nz</sub>	128 581	48 346 456	8 415 584	
	N <sub>total</sub>	85 766 121	34 306 448 400	1 867 795 524	

### Evaluation of the results in the frequency domain

 The computational time, the number of iterations and the size of the formulated matrices.

	DA	RTE	RTE-DA
t <sub>total</sub> (sec)	38.15	1060.19	480.74
n <sub>x</sub>	73	101	127
n <sub>m</sub>	76	165	197
N <sub>nz</sub>	128 581	48 346 456	8 415 584
N <sub>total</sub>	85 766 121	34 306 448 400	1 867 795 524







#### **Evaluation of the steady-state results**

Accuracy of the method.



#### **Evaluation of the steady-state results**

Accuracy of the method.



	RTE-DA/RTE		DA/RTE	
	Excitation	Emission	Excitation	Emission
Photon Density	~98%	~98%	~94%	~94%

### Evaluation of the results on the CW state

 The computational time, the number of iterations and the size of the formulated matrices.

	DA	RTE	RTE-DA
t <sub>total</sub> (sec)	29.41	884.58	454.03
n <sub>x</sub>	81	107	123
n <sub>m</sub>	76	159	206
N <sub>nz</sub>	134 864	48 346 456	8 415 584
N <sub>total</sub>	94 128 804	34 306 448 400	1 867 795 524

# EVALUATION OF THE STEADY-STATE Formalism

### Evaluation of the results on the CW state

 The computational time, the number of iterations and the size of the formulated matrices.



### Construction of the database

Solution of the forward problem for numerous virtual fluorophores distributions and for every excitation source position.



An example of measured data



Fluorophore: Alexa Fluor 680.

Real geometry: Ellipsoidal with d=0.25cm and h=0.5cm at z=0.6cm Tissue phantom: Suspension of 1% v/v Intralipid and 1% w/v Agarose. Excitation source: Projected line with scanning step 0.05 cm.



The system

The system

- Lenses: Telecentric
- Imaging mode: Orthographic projection
- ➤ Field of View: 20.3×27.2 mm
- Excitation profile: Structured light scanning
- Excitation wavelength: 680 nm
- ∼ Detection wavelength: 700 nm
- Detection geometry: Epi-illumination







# EVALUATION WITH VIRTUAL TISSUE-Like Measurements

**Evaluation with application on the MOBY phantom** 

The various organs of this digital mouse phantom have been developed through the utilization of non-uniform rational bspline (NURBS) surfaces. High-resolution 3D magnetic resonance microscopy (MRM) data, obtained from the Duke Center for In Vivo Microscopy, was used as the basis for the formation of the surfaces. This digital phantom has been utilized for numerous imaging studies, including fluorescence molecular imaging studies.

# EVALUATION WITH VIRTUAL TISSUE-Like Measurements

**Evaluation with application on the MOBY phantom** 


## **EVALUATION WITH VIRTUAL TISSUE-**LIKE MEASUREMENTS

**Evaluation with application on the MOBY phantom** 





Gorpas D., and Andersson-Engels S., "Dual Coupled Radiative Transfer Equation and Diffusion Approximation for the Solution of the Forward Problem in Fluorescence Molecular Imaging", Imaging, Manipulation, and Analysis of Biomolecules, Cells, and Tissues X, Proc. SPIE, 8225:822522 (2012).

### **EVALUATION WITH VIRTUAL TISSUE-**LIKE MEASUREMENTS









## **EVALUATION WITH VIRTUAL TISSUE-LIKE MEASUREMENTS**









#### Contribution of the research

- Solution of the forward problem in fluorescence molecular imaging with utilization of the RTE model.
- Solution of the forward problem in fluorescence molecular imaging with the dual coupled RTE-DA model.
- Development of an epi-illumination fluorescence molecular imaging with scanning structured excitation source.
- Fluorescence acquisition with angular information.

#### **Ongoing research**

- Development of an inverse problem solution, based on superellipsoidal models and the Levenberg-Marquardt technique.
- Further evaluation of the dual coupled RTE-DA model on nonhomogeneous synthetic phantoms.
- Optimization of the algorithms for time efficacy increase.

#### Possible prospects

- Optimization of the spatial and angular discretization schemes.
- Investigation of the possibility to apply the RTE based forward solver.
- Study of the molecular information of the biomarkers.
- Development of small animal tomographic applications.
- Adaptation of the methodology for breast cancer detection.
- Development of a compact and portable system!





# Thank you for your attention!!!

dgorpas@iti.gr