LiFR: A Lightweight Fuzzy DL Reasoner

Dorothea Tsatsou¹, Stamatia Dasiopoulou², Ioannis Kompatsiaris¹, and Vasileios Mezaris¹

¹ Information Technologies Institute, Centre for Research & Technology Hellas

² Department of Information and Communication Technologies, Pompeu Fabra University

 $\{ \texttt{dorothea,ikom,bmezaris} \} \texttt{@iti.gr, stamatia.dasiopoulou@upf.edu}$

Abstract. In this paper we present LiFR, a lightweight DL reasoner capable of performing in resource-constrained devices, that implements a fuzzy extension of Description Logic Programs. Preliminary evaluation against two existing fuzzy DL reasoners and within a real-world use case has shown promising results.

1 Introduction

Managing vague and imprecise knowledge is a common requirement in many real-world application domains. For this purpose, several fuzzy DLs extensions to classical DLs [1] have been proposed [9, 10] and alongside, a number of reasoners for very expressive fuzzy DLs have been implemented, including FiRE³ [13], FuzzyDL⁴ [4] and DeLorean [3]). Furthermore, a number of optimisation techniques have been proposed recently for improving reasoning efficiency for very expressive fuzzy DLs [12, 5]. However, many applications require less expressive DLs and would benefit from trading the full expressivity of the language for reasoning efficiency. For instance in location- and context-aware applications that run in resource-constrained devices, like smartphones, tablets, set-top boxes etc, computational efficiency and scalability would enable decision-making to take place either on the server-side or on the end-device, thereby also enhancing privacy preservation.

To this scope, this paper presents LiFR (Section 2), a lightweight fuzzy DL reasoner that supports a subset of fuzzy Description Logics Programs (f-DLP) [15]. Section 3 discusses preliminary evaluation results, while future directions are given in Section 4.

2 LiFR semantics, syntax and reasoning services

LiFR⁵ is a lightweight fuzzy DL reasoner that supports f-DLP. It extends Pocket KRHyper [6], a (crisp) first order model generator for last-generation mobile devices that performs DL reasoning by translating DL axioms to first order clauses and by using the hyper-tableaux calculus [2]. Fuzzy DLs extend classical DLs

³ http://www.image.ece.ntua.gr/~nsimou/FiRE/

⁴ http://nemis.isti.cnr.it/~straccia/software/fuzzyDL/fuzzyDL.html

⁵ Maintained at http://mklab.iti.gr/project/lifr

by interpreting concepts and roles as fuzzy sets of individuals and binary relations respectively. The crisp set operations intersection, union and implication, are extended to fuzzy sets and performed by t-norm, t-conorm and implication functions respectively [7], providing corresponding semantics.

LiFR implements the operators of Zadeh fuzzy logic (Table 1), namely the minimum t-norm, the maximum t-conorm and the Kleene-Dienes implication (\Rightarrow_{KD}) , and provides in addition support for weighted concept modifiers as introduced in [4]. Currently fuzzy assertions are restricted to concepts only and role assertions are treated as crisp with an imposed membership degree of \geq 1.0. Its syntax (Table 2) is a variant of the Knowledge Representation System Specification (KRSS) proposal [11], rendering it significantly more lightweight compared to other specifications (e.g. RDF/XML serialisation), thus enhancing the capability of performing in resource-constrained devices.

Fable 2. LiFR synta	ιx
----------------------------	----

		DL syntax LiFR syntax			
Table 1. LiFR semantics.		Т	TOP		
		\perp	BOTTOM		
Syntax	Semantics	$C \sqsubseteq D$	(IMPLIES C D)		
$C \sqsubseteq D$	$C^{I}(x) \Rightarrow_{KD} D^{I}(x)$	$C \equiv D$	(EQUIVALENT C D)		
$C \sqcap D$	$\min(\mathbf{C}^{I}(x), \mathbf{D}^{I}(x))$	$C \sqcap D$	(AND C D)		
$C \sqcup D$	$\max(\mathbf{C}^{I}(x), \mathbf{D}^{I}(x))$	$C \sqcup D$	(OR C D)		
F R C	$\sup_{x \in I} \{ \min(B^{I}(x, y), C^{I}(y)) \}$	$C\sqcap D\sqsubseteq \bot$	(DISJOINT C D)		
	$\inf_{y \in \Delta^{I}} \left[D^{I}(x,y) \rightarrow C^{I}(y) \right]$	$\exists R.C$	(SOME R C)		
v n.D	$\lim_{y \in \Delta^{I}} \{ \mathcal{K}(x, y) \Rightarrow_{KD} \mathcal{C}(y) \}$	$\forall R.D$	(ALL R C)		
$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{C}$	$C^{I}(x) \cdot w$	$w\cdot C$	(WEIGHT C w)		
$\langle \alpha : C \geq d \rangle$	$C^{I}(\alpha^{I}) \geq d$	$S \sqsubseteq R$	(ROLE R :PARENT S)		
$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$:R	$\mathbf{R}^{I} (\alpha^{I}, \beta^{I}) \ge 1.0$	$R^- \equiv S$	(ROLE R :INVERSE S)		
		R^+	(ROLE R :TRANSITIVE)		
		$\langle \alpha : C \ge d \rangle$	(INSTANCE a $C \ge d$)		
		$\langle \alpha \ h \cdot \overline{B} \rangle$	(BELATED a b B)		

Given a fuzzy DL knowledge base Σ , LiFR currently supports the following reasoning services: i) satisfiability checking, i.e. whether there exists a fuzzy interpretation I that satisfies all axioms in Σ , ii) fuzzy entailment, i.e. whether every model of Σ satisfies τ , where τ is an axiom of the form $C(\alpha) \ge d$, iii) concept subsumption, i.e. whether every model of Σ satisfies $C^{I}(x) \le D^{I}(x) \forall x \in \Delta^{I}$, and iv) greatest lower bound (GLB), defined as the sup{ $\alpha : \Sigma \models \langle \tau \ge \alpha \rangle$ } where τ is an axiom of the form $C(\alpha) \ge d$. GLB is one of the most important and interesting reasoning services in fuzzy DLs, as it enables to determine which is the greatest degree that Σ entails an individual α to participate in a concept C. Extending Pocket KRHyper, LiFR's default reasoning service consists in the generation of all models that satisfy the input fuzzy knowledge base, thereby providing native support for the computation of the global GLB, i.e the GLB for all combinations of individuals and concepts.

3 Evaluation

LiFR's performance was evaluated against fuzzyDL and FiRE on several sets of randomly generated assertions using the LinkedTV User Model Ontology $(LUMO)^{6}[14]$, as demonstrated in Table 3⁷.

2

 ⁶ http://data.linkedtv.eu/ontologies/lumo/: 804 atomic concepts, 6 roles, > 200 complex concepts
⁷ Due to time restrictions, FuzzyDL was terminated for the 1000 instances case after it exceeded

¹² hours of processing without rendering results.

Proc. Extended Semantic Web Conference (ESWC'14), poster track, Anissaras, Crete, Greece, May 2014. The final publication is available at link.springer.com.

Individuals	Time (ms)			Memory (MB)		
	LiFR	FuzzyDL	FiRE	LiFR	FuzzyDL	FiRE
20	189	38458	47538	10.00	59.07	67.95
50	192	169875	318228	92.42	181.19	252.80
100	332	596292	665721	137.28	206.36	274.27
250	923	4955568	3137765	169.26	268.23	386.82
500	2015	23239036	6316162	191.64	294.75	474.02
1000	4208	>12hrs	12260563	239.93	N/A	515.12

Table 3. Time performance and memory consumption of LiFR, FiRE and FuzzyDL on *global GLB* calculation.

LiFR's reasoning services are currently employed within the LinkedTV EU project⁸, primarily for personalized content and concept filtering and for mappings retrieval among ontologies, while supplementary it is used in several tasks, such as topic detection within content and user preferences. To this scope, as part of an evaluation experiment, it was called to label a dataset of 970 media content items with topics from the reference LUMO ontology, ranked by the membership degree of each topic belonging to a media item.

The content items were annotated with ~500-2500 DBPedia [8] entities (individuals) along with their types (concepts) from the DBpedia ontology. In most cases these types represented agents, events, locations and objects, of which existing counterparts in LUMO are related to certain topics by axioms of the sort $Type \sqsubseteq \forall has(Sub)Topic.Topic$, where Type is the concept in the annotation and Topic is subsumed by the *Topics* concept/category of LUMO. The process involved running three distinct reasoning services per content item: (1)*entailment* based on the LUMO mappings⁹ TBox, in order to map DBPedia types to LUMO concepts; (2) global GLB calculation within the LUMO TBox, based on the assertions retrieved in the previous step as the ABox per content item (main task); (3) Iterative subsumption check for each of the predicates in the produced model against the LUMO *Topics* concept, to retrieve from the entirety of the predicates in the produced model, the ones that are subsumed by *Topics*, thus are actually topics. The time performance of LiFR for this experiment is portrayed in Figure 1.

4 Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper, we presented LiFR, a lightweight fuzzy DL reasoner that implements a fuzzy extension of DLP. A preliminary evaluation shows that LiFR is capable of performing in limited-resource devices. In future work, we plan to extend LiFR to support the OWL 2 RL profile and develop a benchmark for a more detailed evaluation and comparison with other fuzzy DLs reasoners.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the European Commission under Contract FP7-287911 LinkedTV.

3

⁸ http://www.linkedtv.eu/

⁹ http://data.linkedtv.eu/ontologies/lumo_mappings/: 1309 concepts



Fig. 1. LiFR's time performance for topic detection. Points denote each content item's processing time. The line shows the polynomial trendline (order of 6) of the data points.

References

- Baader, F., Calvanese, D., McGuinness, D.L., Nardi, D., Patel-Schneider, P.F. (eds.): The Description Logic Handbook: Theory, Implementation, and Applications. Cambridge University Press (2003)
- Baumgartner, P.: Hyper Tableau The Next Generation. In: TABLEAUX. pp. 60–76 (1998)
- Bobillo, F., Delgado, M., Gómez-Romero, J.: Reasoning in Fuzzy OWL 2 with DeLorean. In: URSW (LNCS Vol.). pp. 119–138 (2013)
- Bobillo, F., Straccia, U.: fuzzyDL: An Expressive Fuzzy Description Logic Reasoner. In: FUZZ-IEEE. pp. 923–930 (2008)
- Bobillo, F., Straccia, U.: General concept inclusion absorptions for fuzzy description logics: A first step. In: Description Logics. pp. 513–525 (2013)
- 6. Kleemann, T.: Towards Mobile Reasoning. In: Description Logics (2006)
- 7. Klir, G.J., Yuan, B.: Fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic theory and applications. Prentice Hall (1995)
- Lehmann, J., Isele, R., Jakob, M., Jentzsch, A., Kontokostas, D., Mendes, P.N., Hellmann, S., Morsey, M., van Kleef, P., Auer, S., Bizer, C.: DBpedia - a largescale, multilingual knowledge base extracted from wikipedia. Semantic Web Journal (2014)
- Lukasiewicz, T., Straccia, U.: Managing Uncertainty and Vagueness in Description Logics for the Semantic Web. J. Web Sem. 6(4), 291–308 (2008)
- Ma, Z.M., Zhang, F., Wang, H., Yan, L.: An overview of fuzzy Description Logics for the Semantic Web. Knowledge Eng. Review 28(1), 1–34 (2013)
- Schneider, P.P., Swartout, B.: Description-Logic Knowledge Representation System Specification from the KRSS Group of the ARPA Knowledge Sharing Effort. urlhttp://www.bell-labs.com/user/pfps/papers/krss-spec.ps (1993)
- Simou, N., Mailis, T.P., Stoilos, G., Stamou, G.B.: Optimization techniques for fuzzy description logics. In: Description Logics (2010)
- Stoilos, G., Simou, N., Stamou, G.B., Kollias, S.D.: Uncertainty and the Semantic Web. IEEE Intelligent Systems 21(5), 84–87 (2006)
- 14. Tsatsou, D., Mezaris, V.: LUMO: The LinkedTV User Model Ontology. In: ESWC 2014 (Satellite Events) (2014)
- Venetis, T., Stoilos, G., Stamou, G.B., Kollias, S.D.: f-DLPs: Extending Description Logic Programs with Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic. In: FUZZ-IEEE. pp. 1–6 (2007)